

Cabot Place, 1100 – 100 New Gower Street, P.O. Box 5038 St. John's NL A1C 5V3 Canada tel: 709.722.4270 fax: 709.722.4565 stewartmckelvey.com

September 23, 2019

Paul L. Coxworthy Direct Dial: 709.570.8830 pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com

Via Electronic Mail

Newfoundland and Labrador Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities 120 Torbay Road P.O. Box 21040 St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention:

Ms. G. Cheryl Blundon, Director of Corporate Services

and Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Blundon:

Re: Requests for Information of the Island Industrial Customers Group

Further to the above, enclosed please find the Island Industrial Customers Group Requests for Information IC-NLH-001 to IC-NLH-023 dated September 23, 2019.

We trust this is in order.

Yours truly,

Stewart McKelvey

Paul L. Coxworthy

PLC/tas

Enclosures

c: Shirley Walsh, Senior Legal Councel- Regulatory, Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro Dennis M. Browne, Q.C., Consumer Advocate

Kelly Hopkins, Newfoundland Power Inc.

Senwung Luk, Olthuis, Kleer, Townshend LLP

1 2	(the "Act"); and		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for an Order approving (1) its 2021 Capital Budget pursuant to s. 41(1) of the Act; (2) its 2021 capital purchases and construction projects in excess of \$50,000.00 pursuant to s.41(3)(a) of the Act; (3) its estimated contributions in aid of construction for 2021 pursuant to s.41(5) of the Act; and (4) for an order pursuant to s. 78 of the Act fixing and Determining its Average Rate Base for 2017 and 2018.		
16 17		REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION OF THE	
18		ISLAND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS GROUP	
19		IC-NLH-001 - IC-NLH-023	
20		ISSUED SEPTEMBER 23rd, 2020	
21	Specifically Assigned Assets		
22 23 24 25 26	IC-NLH-001	With reference to Section 3.1 Specifically Assigned Assets, at page 4 of 2019 Capital Projects Overview, and Hydro's DGA equipment on Come By Chance Transformers T1 and T2, what is the amount of this capital expenditure proposed to be specifically assigned to NARL Refining Limited Partnership arising from this project?	
27 28 29 30 31 32	IC-NLH-002	At this time, is Hydro aware of any other new projects within or outside its five-year plan (ie any projects other than the Come-By-Chance T1 and T2 DGA project identified in the 2019 Capital Budget Application and the planned 2024 replacement of the neutral bushings in Come-By-Chance T1 and T2) that will be proposed to be specifically assigned to any member of the Island Industria Customer Group, NARL Refining Limited Partnership, Corner Brook Pulp & Pape Limited or Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited?	
34 35 36 37	IC-NLH-003	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood : Hydro has advised that the waste water equalization system will still be needed "post-steam". Will there be any change in how the system is utilized post-steam and if so, please identify and explain all those changes.	
38			
30			

1 2 3 4	IC-NLH-004	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood: Will the waste water equalization system still need to process the same volume of effluent during post-steam operations? Will the two large concrete basins, as used for present operations, still be needed for post-steam operations?
5		
6 7 8 9	IC-NLH-005	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood : If Hydro were to replace the waste water facility with a facility tailored to post-steam operations only, would the cost of such a system be less than what Hydro has proposed in this Application?
10		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IC-NLN-006	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood: Hydro states, in its Evaluation of the Deferral Alternative in Section 4.2.1 that "Upgrades to the waste water equalization system are necessary to eliminate safety hazards and ensure that the effluent is properly treated and disposed of in accordance with environmental requirements." In the Section 3.0 Justification, Hydro refers to "corroded structural steel members" as one of the safety issues (along with "mold"). Has Hydro obtained an engineering assessment of these structural members and what degree of risk of structural failure is raised by the corrosion?
19		
20 21 22 23 24 25	IC-NLH-007	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood: The Bioaerosol Assessment (Attachment 2) recommends "All materials in the affected areas need to be cleaned with a disinfecting solution. Any materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed" and not wholesale replacement of the building. Has Hydro assessed the cost of proceeding in accordance with this recommendation?
26		
27 28 29 30 31	IC-NLH-008	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood:.With reference to IC-NLH-007, if the Bio-aerosol Assessment recommendation were to be followed, could the mechanical systems issues also raised as a justification for this project be addressed without wholesale replacement of the building? If yes, then has Hydro assessed the cost of addressing the mechanical systems issues in such a manner?
33		
34 35 36 37	IC-NLH-009	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood : Is Hydro in violation of its Department of Municipal Affairs and Environment Certificate of Approval (Attachment 1)? If Hydro considers itself to be in violation, please provide in detail the evidence and rationale supporting the violation.
38		
39 40	IC-NLH-010	Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System – Holyrood: How frequently are Hydro or other personnel required to enter the facility such as to

1 be exposed to the mold? If there is a log of such entries please provide a complete copy of same, from at least 2014 (when Hydro states this project was 2 3 first contemplated). 4 5 Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System - Holyrood: Please IC-NLH-011 explain in detail how safety concerns (mold, corrosion) have been addressed or 6 mitigated, since at least 2014 (when Hydro states this project was first 7 contemplated), when Hydro or other personnel are required to enter the facility? 8 9 IC-NLH-012 With reference to Hydro's Prioritization Matrix Template & Explanatory 10 Information (Attachment 1, Hydro correspondence to the Board dated September 21, 2020), please provide the source(s) for the matrix template model used by 11 12 Hydro, including for the 14 scoring categories and the scoring ratings under each category. For example, under the scoring category "# Customers" impacted, 13 14 please provide the source(s) and rationale for assigning 50 to < 10,000 and 70 to 15 >10.000. With reference to Hydro's Prioritization Matrix Template & Explanatory 16 IC-NLH-013 Information (Attachment 1), the materials provided do not appear to illustrate how 17 impact/ probability and impact/confidence level are factored into the scoring for 18 each of the 14 scoring categories. Please explain how these factors are 19 assessed, weighted, and factored into the prioritization matrix, and provide an 20 illustrative example. 21 22 23 IC-NLH-014 Project - Upgrade Waste Water Equalization System - Holyrood: With reference Table A-1 2021 Project Prioritization (2021 Capital Projects Overview 24 Appendix A), please explain in detail how and why this project was assigned a 25 26 rank of 4, while Overhaul Unit 3 Generator - Holyrood, Overhaul Unit 3 Generator - Holyrood, Boiler Condition Assessment and Miscellaneous 27 28 Upgrades – Holyrood, and Inspect Chemical Tanks Holyrood, were all ranked 29 lower. In providing such detailed explanation, please provide complete copies of all internal Hydro work product (the completed prioritization matrix templates; 30 prioritization scoring by Asset Planners; reports; memoranda; emails), and of 31 the work product of any external consultants, documenting the project 32 33 prioritization process in relation to the foregoing projects, and please reconcile 34 such explanation with the "two-pronged approach to prioritizing capital 35 investments" summarized at page 14 of the September 16, 2020 presentation to the Board. 36 37 IC-NLH-015 Refurbish Ebbegunbaeg Control Structure: Please explain in detail how and 38 39 why this project was assigned a rank of 19. In providing such detailed 40 explanation, please provide complete copies of all internal Hydro work product (the completed prioritization matrix templates; prioritization scoring by Asset 41 42 Planners; reports; memoranda; emails), and of the work product of any external

1 consultants, documenting the project prioritization process in relation to the 2 foregoing project, and please reconcile such explanation with the "two-pronged approach to prioritizing capital investments" summarized at page 14 of the 3 September 16, 2020 presentation to the Board. 4 5 6 IC-NLH-016 Hydraulic Refurbishment and Modernization: This project groups a number of different projects at different hydraulic facility sites. Please explain, in detail, the 7 rationale for grouping together the Refurbish Generator Stator Project - Bay 8 9 D'Espoir Unit 6 (which will cost \$9,160,900 over 2021-2022, representing the great preponderance of the capital expenditures being proposed for approval 10 within the grouped "Hydraulic Refurbishment and Modernization" projects) with 11 12 the following projects: Salmon River Spillway Level 2 Condition Assessment (\$556,800), the Hinds Lake Frazil Monitoring System (\$199,500), Paradise River 13 Penstock/Rock Tunnel Level 2 Condition Assessment (\$196.800) and Upgrade 14 15 Public Safety Around Dams - Hinds Lake and Paradise River (\$436,300). In providing such explanation, please explain why the Refurbish Ebbegunbaeg 16 Control Structure project was not similarly grouped as Hydraulic Refurbishment 17 and Modernization. 18 19 20 IC-NLH-017 Table A-1: 2021 Project Prioritization: Please explain why Salmon River Spillway Level 2 Condition Assessment (\$556,800), the Hinds Lake Frazil 21 Monitoring System (\$199,500), Paradise River Penstock/Rock Tunnel Level 2 22 23 Condition Assessment (\$196.800) and Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams -Hinds Lake and Paradise River (\$436,300) projects are assigned a Rank of 24 "2" (as part of the Hydraulic Refurbishment and Modernization) but the Refurbish 25 26 Ebbegunbaeg Control Structure project is assigned a Rank of "19". In providing 27 such explanation, please address the weight attached to the fact that, for example, the Paradise River project relates to a facility which provides 8 MW of 28 29 generation capacity, but Ebbegunbaeg Control Structure relates to a facility (Bay D'Espoir) which provides 613.4 MW. 30 31 32 IC-NLH-018 Table A-1: 2021 Project Prioritization: Would the Salmon River Spillway Level 33 2 Condition Assessment (\$556,800), the Hinds Lake Frazil Monitoring System (\$199,500), Paradise River Penstock/Rock Tunnel Level 2 Condition Assessment 34 35 (\$196,800) and Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams - Hinds Lake and Paradise River (\$436,300) projects be assigned Ranks of "2", if ranked as individual, 36 37 standalone projects? 38 39 IC-NLH-019 Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams – Hinds Lake and Paradise River: 40 Please provide evidence of the numbers of members of the public (ie persons 41 other than employees or contractors of Hydro or Nalcor) who, annually, come

1 2		within sufficient proximity of these facilities to be at risk, and please detail the risk to which those persons were exposed.
3		
4 5 6 7	IC-NLH-020	Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams – Hinds Lake and Paradise River: Please explain why a public information program, aimed at those groups who might come into proximity to such facilities, would not provide a sufficient assurance of public safety.
8		
9 10 11 12 13	IC-NLH-021	Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams – Hinds Lake and Paradise River: Please provide list of all Island Dams and hydraulic facilities and structures in relation to which Hydro has undertaken a Public Safety capital expenditure (of \$50,000 or more) since (and including) 2015 to (and including) 2019, with a brief description of the project work and the actual capital expenditure on each project.
14	IO NII II 000	Hannada Dublia Cafete Annual Dama - Hinda Lake and Damadia - Disconda
15 16 17 18 19 20	IC-NLH-022	Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams – Hinds Lake and Paradise River: In the past 10 years (2010-2019 inclusive), how many members of the public have come to physical harm (proven or alleged) as a result of a lack (proven or alleged) of a public safety measure at an Island dam or hydraulic facility operated by Hydro, as compared to physical harm (proven or alleged) due to some other aspect (proven or alleged) of Hydro's operations?
21		
22 23 24 25 26	IC-NLH-023	Upgrade Public Safety Around Dams— Hinds Lake and Paradise River: With reference to the Table 15 estimates for project cost, please provide a detailed breakdown as to what costs are related to fencing, what costs are related to signage and what costs are related to any other work being proposed under this project.
27		
28 29	DATED at St. 2020.	John's, in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 23 rd day of September,
30		
31		Per: Dean A. Porter
32		Dean A. Porter
33		V

1		COX & PALMER
2		Per: Voltand Stands
3		
4		Per: Paul Savethur
5		Paul L. Coxworthy
6		
7 8	TO:	The Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities Attention: Board Secretary
9 1 0	TO:	Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro Attention: Shirley A. Walsh, Senior Legal Counsel, Regulatory
11 12	TO:	Office of Consumer Advocate Attention: Dennis Browne, Q.C.
13 14	TO:	Newfoundland Power Inc. Attention: Kelly Hopkins, Corporate Counsel
15 16	TO:	Olthuis, Kleer, Townshend LLP Attention: Senwung Luk